

Scaling of N -body calculations

H. Baumgardt^{★†}

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ

Accepted 2000 December 9. Received 2000 November 22; in original form 2000 September 11

ABSTRACT

We report results of collisional N -body simulations aimed at studying the N dependence of the dynamical evolution of star clusters. Our clusters consist of equal-mass stars and are in virial equilibrium. Clusters moving in external tidal fields and clusters limited by a cut-off radius are simulated. Our main focus is to study the dependence of the lifetimes of the clusters on the number of cluster stars and the chosen escape condition.

We find that star clusters in external tidal fields exhibit a scaling problem in the sense that their lifetimes do not scale with the relaxation time. Isolated clusters show a similar problem if stars are removed only after their distance to the cluster centre exceeds a certain cut-off radius. If stars are removed immediately after their energy exceeds the energy necessary for escape, the scaling problem disappears.

We show that some stars that gain the energy necessary for escape are scattered to lower energies before they can leave the cluster. As the efficiency of this process decreases with increasing particle number, it causes the lifetimes not to scale with the relaxation time. Analytic formulae are derived for the scaling of the lifetimes in the different cases.

Key words: stellar dynamics – celestial mechanics – globular clusters: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

The aim of the present paper is to study the dependence of the lifetimes of star clusters on the number of cluster stars and the chosen escape condition. It is important to understand this dependence, because at present it is impossible to perform a fully collisional simulation of globular clusters with realistic particle numbers. Hence, one has to scale the results of simulations with smaller particle numbers to the globular cluster regime (as for example in Wielen 1988), or adjust the parameters of other methods for star cluster evolution, for example Fokker–Planck calculations, such that they match the results of the largest feasible N -body calculations, as in Takahashi & Portegies Zwart (2000). In both cases it is important that the scaling of the lifetimes with the particle number is understood.

The theory for the dependence of the lifetime on the number of cluster stars was developed by Ambartsumian (1938) and Spitzer (1940). It is based on the assumption that the majority of more distant encounters between cluster stars are responsible for the mass loss of the cluster. Distant encounters tend to set up a Maxwellian velocity distribution at each point inside the cluster. Such a distribution has non-zero density for every energy, so there are always stars that have velocities higher than the escape velocity of the cluster. These stars will escape, causing a steady mass loss of the cluster.

[★]E-mail: holger@astron.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[†] Present address: Department of Astronomy, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

Distant encounters lead to energy changes on the relaxation time-scale (Chandrasekhar 1942; Spitzer 1987 equation 2–62):

$$t_r = \frac{0.065 v_m^3}{n m^2 G^2 \ln \Lambda}, \quad (1)$$

where n is the density of cluster stars, m the mean mass of a star, v_m the average velocity of the stars, G the constant of gravitation and Λ is proportional to the number of cluster stars. During each relaxation time a constant fraction of cluster stars is scattered to energies above the escape velocity, so the lifetimes of star clusters should be multiples of their relaxation times.

There are however effects not accounted for by this theory. Hénon (1960) for example studied isolated clusters and showed that in this case the energy changes resulting from distant encounters are unimportant for escape, and instead most stars escape because of single close encounters with other cluster stars. In this and a later paper (Hénon 1969), he showed that this will lead to a scaling of the lifetime proportional to the number of cluster stars times the crossing time of the cluster.

Another complication was first pointed out by Chandrasekhar (1942) and studied in detail by King (1959). As stars with energies high enough for escape need time to leave the cluster, some of them may be scattered back to lower energies and become bound again. This reduces the number of stars escaping from a cluster, thereby increasing its lifetime. If the escape time is constant, this effect will be more important for low- N clusters, because their relaxation times are shorter and a higher fraction of potential

escapers is retained. Backscattering therefore causes a deviation from a scaling with the relaxation time.

Further complications arise if external forces act upon a star cluster. Clusters moving on elliptic orbits through their parent galaxies for example are subject to tidal heating, which acts on the orbital time-scale and is independent of the relaxation time of a cluster. As the changing tidal field removes stars, the lifetime of a cluster does not depend on the relaxation time alone. Similar problems exist if star clusters have to pass through galactic discs (Ostriker, Spitzer & Chevalier 1972; Weinberg 1994a,b) or the mass loss of the cluster stars is taken into account (Chernoff & Weinberg 1990; Fukushima & Heggie 1995).

Even for the simpler problem of a circular orbit with no individual mass loss of the cluster stars, the lifetime does not necessarily scale with the relaxation time. This was demonstrated by the Collaborative Experiment (Heggie et al. 1998), where multimass clusters moving in circular orbits around a point-mass galaxy were simulated. Clusters containing between 1024 and 65 536 stars were studied and it was found that the lifetimes of the clusters increased more slowly than their relaxation times. As there is some uncertainty in the correct definition of the relaxation time for a multimass cluster, it was however not clear whether the observed discrepancy could not be removed by a different definition of the relaxation time.

It is the aim of the present paper to provide a better understanding of the dependence of the lifetime on the number of cluster stars. We begin by studying simpler clusters with a tidal cut-off and use the results obtained there to understand the behaviour of clusters in a steady tidal field.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE RUNS

The calculations were performed with the collisional Aarseth N -body code NBODY6++ (Makino & Aarseth 1992; Aarseth 1999). This code uses a Hermite integration scheme with block time-steps and an Ahmad–Cohen neighbour scheme for the integration. It has recently been parallelized (Spurzem 1999; Spurzem & Baumgardt, in preparation) by means of MPI routines to increase its peak performance.

All our clusters consist of equal-mass stars and their density distributions are given by $W_0 = 3.0$ King profiles. The tidal radii of the King models are adjusted such that they are equal to the cut-off radii for the isolated clusters, and are equal to the tidal radii given by the galactic tidal fields in the models with a full tide.

Clusters containing between 128 and 16 384 stars were simulated. Small N clusters were simulated more than once in order to reduce the statistical noise. The evolution of small N clusters was followed on a Pentium III PC, while clusters with $N = 16\,384$ stars were simulated on a CRAY T3E parallel computer using 8 or 16 processors. With 8 processors, it took about 550 CPU-hours to follow the evolution of a King $W_0 = 3.0$ cluster with 16 384 stars until complete dissolution.

Three different types of runs were performed. First we studied isolated clusters and removed stars if their energies were large enough so that they could reach the tidal radius. In the second case we also studied isolated clusters, but removed stars if their distance to the cluster centre exceeded the tidal radius. Simulations of this kind are often used to study tidally limited clusters. We finally studied clusters moving on circular orbits around point-mass galaxies with a proper tidal field.

Table 1 gives an overview of the simulations performed. The columns contain from left to right the number of cluster stars N ,

Table 1. Details of the N -body runs performed.

N	Energy cut-off		
	N_{Sim}	T_{Half}	$\sigma_{T_{\text{H}}}$
128	128	53.5	1.0
256	96	85.6	1.0
512	32	141.6	1.3
1024	8	239.5	4.8
2048	4	423.6	6.4
4096	2	739.6	16.0
8192	2	1320.9	33.0
16384	1	2371.5	
N	Radial cut-off		
	N_{Sim}	T_{Half}	$\sigma_{T_{\text{H}}}$
128	96	107.3	2.3
256	64	155.8	1.9
512	32	234.6	2.5
1024	16	363.0	3.2
2048	8	585.0	9.1
4096	4	996.8	10.8
8192	2	1704.5	7.3
16384	1	2894.5	
N	Full tidal field		
	N_{Sim}	T_{Half}	$\sigma_{T_{\text{H}}}$
128	128	89.4	1.2
256	64	126.7	1.6
512	32	182.6	3.8
1024	16	258.5	4.2
2048	8	372.9	6.6
4096	4	558.1	5.2
8192	2	840.9	1.1
16384	1	1176.8	

the number N_{Sim} of simulations performed, the mean time required to lose half the mass and an error estimate for the half-mass time. The error estimate was derived by calculating the standard deviation of the individual runs around the mean half-mass time and dividing it by the square root of N_{Sim} . We use the half-mass time to study the scaling in order to avoid very low N effects. These might play a role for the smallest clusters at the end of their lifetime.

All times are given in N -body units, where the total mass and energy of a cluster are given by $M = 1$ and $E_C = -0.25$ initially, and the constant of gravitation G is equal to 1. We will use these units throughout the paper.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Energy cut-off models

In the energy cut-off case, we immediately remove stars once their energies become high enough so that they can reach the tidal radius r_t of the cluster. The maximum distance r_m that a star at a distance r from the cluster centre can reach, provided it does not experience any encounters with other cluster stars, is given by the following equation:

$$\phi(r_m) + \frac{1}{2}v_{\perp}^2 \left(\frac{r}{r_m} \right)^2 = \phi(r) + \frac{1}{2}(v_{\parallel}^2 + v_{\perp}^2), \quad (2)$$

where $\phi(r)$ is the potential at position r and v_{\parallel} and v_{\perp} denote the velocity components parallel and perpendicular to the direction from the star's position to the cluster centre. Note that the second




Figure 1. Evolution of the fraction of bound stars as a function of N -body time for three energy cut-off clusters. Shown is the mean of all clusters containing 1024, 4096 and 16384 stars initially.




Figure 2. Mean half-mass times as a function of the number of cluster stars for the energy cut-off clusters. The solid line shows a theoretical scaling with the relaxation time, fitted to the mean half-mass time for $N = 1024$. It provides an excellent fit to the half-mass times of the N -body runs (filled circles).

term on the left-hand side has to be added owing to the conservation of angular momentum. As we remove stars if they can reach the tidal radius, the energy E_{crit} necessary for escape is given by

$$E_{\text{crit}} = -\frac{M_C}{r_t} + 0.5 \frac{L^2}{r_t^2}, \quad (3)$$

where L denotes the angular momentum of the star with respect to the cluster centre and M_C is the present mass of all stars still bound to the cluster. We check the energy of each star while it is advanced in the regular integrational part of NBODY6++ and all stars with energies larger than their critical energy E_{crit} are immediately removed. The tidal radius r_t is kept fixed during the calculation in order to minimize the influence of drift in energy space of individual cluster stars arising from the mass loss of the cluster. Our model resembles many Fokker–Planck or gaseous models for star cluster evolution, in which the tidal field is treated as an energy boundary, and stars beyond this boundary are immediately removed.

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the number of bound stars (for the energy cut-off models equal to all stars still in the simulation) for three energy cut-off clusters. The number of bound stars decreases almost linearly until 90 per cent of them are lost. There is a slight




Figure 3. Evolution of the Lagrangian radii containing 1, 10 and 50 per cent of all stars, as a function of the number of stars for clusters with $2048 \leq N \leq 16384$ initially. The graphs for different N lie on top of each other, showing that both quantities change on the same time-scale.

increase in the mass-loss rate at around core collapse (which occurs after 60 per cent of the stars are lost, see Fig. 3 later). The slow-down of the mass loss at the end of the simulations can be explained by the constant tidal radius of our clusters. As a result, the outer Lagrangian radii also remain nearly constant, so the crossing time becomes very large at the end. Hence the clusters evolve only slowly. It is therefore better to use the half-mass times of the clusters to study the scaling. This also avoids effects arising from the core collapse of the clusters.

Fig. 2 shows the half-mass times as a function of the number of cluster stars. The solid line shows a scaling proportional to the half-mass relaxation time, fitted to the results of the $N = 1024$ runs. The half-mass relaxation time was taken from Spitzer (1987), equation 2–63:

$$t_{\text{th}} = 0.138 \frac{\sqrt{N} r_h^{3/2}}{\sqrt{m} \sqrt{G}} \ln(\gamma N), \quad (4)$$

with the value of the Coulomb logarithm taken to be $\gamma = 0.11$. Such a value was obtained by Giersz & Heggie (1994) by a comparison of the evolution of single-mass clusters containing $N = 500$ and $N = 2000$ stars respectively. As can be seen, a scaling proportional to the half-mass relaxation time provides an

excellent fit to the N -body results. Following an idea of Toshio Fukushige, we can also check the scaling of the lifetimes without adopting a specific formula for the relaxation time. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the Lagrangian radii as a function of the number of stars still bound to the clusters for clusters containing between $2048 < N < 16384$ stars initially. The change in the Lagrangian radii is a result of the core collapse, which is generally believed to happen on the relaxation time-scale, as it is driven by two-body relaxation. If the mass-loss of the clusters is also happening on the relaxation time-scale, one expects the graphs for different N to lie on top of each other. Otherwise differences in the scaling laws of both quantities should create different curves for different N . As can be seen in Fig. 3, the curves lie on top of each other, at least in the pre-collapse phase. The differences between the clusters around and after core-collapse are a result of the different degrees of central concentration the clusters reach for different initial particle number and are not in contradiction with the pre-collapse evolution.

Combining the results of Figs 2 and 3, we conclude that the dissolution of the energy cut-off clusters happens on the relaxation time-scale, and that equation (4) with $\gamma = 0.11$ provides a good description for the relaxation time of single-mass clusters. We will therefore use it to study the scaling of the other models.

3.2 Radial cut-off models

The radial cut-off case differs from the models in the previous section only by the escape condition. Here, we remove stars after they have crossed the tidal radius r_t of the cluster, i.e. their distance to the cluster centre exceeds r_t . As in the energy cut-off case, the tidal radius is kept fixed during the integration.

Fig. 4 shows the half-mass times of the radial cut-off clusters. The solid line shows a scaling with the relaxation time, fitted to the result of the largest run. There is a clear deviation from such a scaling. The half-mass times are sufficiently close to the expected curve only for the two largest models. Otherwise, they increase more slowly with N than the relaxation time.




Figure 4. Mean half-mass times as a function of the number of cluster stars for the radial cut-off models. The solid line shows a scaling with the relaxation time fitted to the result of the largest run. There is a clear deviation from such a scaling. The dashed line shows the fit obtained by taking the influence of backscattering into account.

In the radial cut-off models, stars need time to travel from the place where they are scattered above the critical energy to the tidal radius of the cluster. While they move outward, potential escapers may be scattered back to lower energies and become bound again. This decreases the number of stars escaping from a cluster within a certain interval of time. To study the influence of backscattering, we divide the stars into three categories (bound stars with $E < E_{\text{Crit}}$, potential escapers with energies $E > E_{\text{Crit}}$, and escaped stars) and consider the processes shown in Fig. 5. Stars are scattered into and out of the potential escaper regime on relaxation time-scales and leave the clusters within one escape time. All three processes can be expected to be in equilibrium with each other, because the escape times are much shorter than the lifetimes of the clusters (see Table 2). We therefore obtain for N_{PE} :

$$\frac{dN_{\text{PE}}}{dt} = k_1 \frac{1}{t_{\text{rh}}} N_{\text{Bound}} - k_2 \frac{1}{t_{\text{rh}}} N_{\text{PE}} - \frac{1}{t_e} N_{\text{PE}} = 0 \quad (5)$$

with the solution

$$N_{\text{PE}} = N_{\text{Bound}} \frac{k_1 t_e}{t_{\text{rh}} + k_2 t_e}. \quad (6)$$

Here N_{Bound} is the number of all stars with energies $E < E_{\text{Crit}}$ and k_1 and k_2 are constants which reflect the efficiencies for scattering stars above and below the critical energy. If the cluster mass decreases linearly with time, the lifetimes of the clusters (or in our case the half-mass times) can be estimated by dividing the number of all stars N_{Star} in the cluster by the number of stars escaping from the cluster within a given time interval. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{Half}} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} N_{\text{Star}}}{\frac{1}{t_e} N_{\text{PE}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2k_1} [t_{\text{rh}} + (k_1 + k_2)t_e]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$




Figure 5. Model for the evolution of the radial cut-off clusters. Bound members (M) are scattered above the critical energy required for escape and become potential escapers (PE). Potential escapers are either scattered back before they can leave the cluster and become bound members again, or escape.

Table 2. Mean escape times t_e and potential escaper fraction F_{PE} for the radial cut-off models. Shown here is the mean over all simulations calculated up to the half-mass time.

N	t_e	$\langle F_{\text{PE}} \rangle$ [%]
128	4.71	4.41
256	4.76	2.97
512	4.99	2.00
1024	5.23	1.29
2048	5.34	0.82
4096	5.55	0.49
8192	5.95	0.29
16384	6.43	0.14

This solution has two regimes. If $t_{\text{rh}} \gg (k_1 + k_2)t_e$, backscattering is unimportant because the time-scale for it is much larger than the escape time. Hence, all stars scattered above the critical energy will escape, and the lifetime scales with the relaxation time. For smaller t_{rh} , backscattering leads to an increase of the lifetimes.

In order to fit our results, we have to determine the unknown quantities k_1 , k_2 and t_e . The escape time can be measured in the simulations. For each escaping star we take the difference between the time it leaves the cluster and its last upward crossing of the critical energy E_{crit} . This is done until the half-mass time is reached for a particular simulation and the mean over all simulations is taken. Table 2 gives the mean escape times determined that way. t_e increases slightly with N because potential escapers in high- N clusters acquire less energy before they leave the cluster, owing to the longer relaxation time. It therefore takes more time until they reach the tidal radius. In addition, the fraction of stars that escape because of large-angle encounters, which have large velocities and correspondingly small escape times, may drop with increasing N .

The constants k_1 and k_2 are best determined from a fit to the data. We find that $k_1 = 0.053$ and $k_2 = 1.01$ give the best fit. Fig. 4 compares the predicted lifetimes with the N -body data for this choice of constants. There is good agreement between both, so a model with backscattering explains the N dependence of the lifetimes.

The value required for k_1 means that high- N clusters lose a fraction $k_1 = 5.3 \times 10^{-2}$ of their mass during each relaxation time. This is only slightly higher than the value found by Spitzer (1987, equation 3–27), $\xi_e = 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$ for the evolution of Hénon’s self-similar model. It is also close to the values found by Johnstone (1993) from Fokker–Planck simulations of single-mass clusters surrounded by a tidal cut-off. As he did not study King models with a central concentration of $W_0 = 3.0$, no direct comparison is possible, but judging from his results for $W_0 = 2.0$ and $W_0 = 4.0$, it seems that our mass-loss rate is again slightly larger. The reason may be that the Fokker–Planck approach neglects close encounters, which may be important in the cores of the clusters and contribute to the mass loss.

The value for k_2 is rather high, because it implies that the process of backscattering is some 20 times more effective than the scattering of stars above the critical energy. It can be explained by the fact that stars are drifting only slowly through energy space, so a typical potential escaper has an energy only slightly above the critical energy. It is therefore easily scattered back to lower energies and becomes bound again, whereas it is much harder to scatter a bound star to energies above E_{crit} .

We finally compare the number of potential escapers in the N -body runs with our prediction. Table 2 lists the mean fraction of potential escapers, defined as $F_{\text{PE}} = N_{\text{PE}}/N_{\text{Star}}$, calculated from the beginning up to the half-mass times of the clusters. It is compared with equation (6), with the relaxation time calculated at the point when the clusters have lost 25 per cent of their stars:

$$\langle F_{\text{PE}} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{N_{\text{PE}}}{N_{\text{Star}}} \right\rangle \approx \frac{k_1 t_e}{(k_1 + k_2)t_e + t_{\text{rh}}(0.75N_0)}. \quad (8)$$

Fig. 6 compares the number of potential escapers with the predicted fraction. They both decrease with increasing N_0 because of the increase in the relaxation times, and the predicted fraction gives a very good fit to the N -body results.

We conclude that the lifetimes of the radial cut-off models are influenced by backscattering. This process increases the lifetimes




Figure 6. Mean potential escaper fraction as a function of the number of cluster stars. Triangles show the N -body results. The solid line shows the behaviour predicted by our model for backscattering.

of low- N clusters. We expect that backscattering becomes unimportant for large enough N , because the relaxation time increases until all stars scattered above the critical energy will escape. Similar results were also found by King (1959). The main difference between his results and our work is that owing to the small excess energies of potential escapers, backscattering is more important for radial cut-off clusters than estimated by him.

3.3 Clusters in a steady tidal field

We finally discuss the evolution of clusters moving in circular orbits around point-mass galaxies. In these models, the full tidal field is taken into account and stars are removed if their distance to the cluster centre exceeds twice the tidal radius. We note that the removal of stars has no influence on the scaling, because it is made at a radius where nearly all stars are already unbound to the clusters.

In a constant tidal field, the tidal radius r_t and the critical energy required for escape are given by

$$r_t = \sqrt[3]{\frac{M_C}{3M_G}} R_G, \quad (9)$$

$$E_{\text{crit}} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{M_C}{r_t}, \quad (10)$$

where R_G is the radius of the cluster orbit and M_G the mass of the galaxy. As the critical energy gives only a necessary but not a sufficient criterion for escape, some stars can remain trapped inside the potential well even if their energies exceed E_{crit} . For the other stars with $E > E_{\text{crit}}$, the problem of their escape time was studied by Fukushima & Heggie (2000). They found that the time required for escape from a fixed potential is mainly a function of the excess energy $\Delta E = (E - E_{\text{crit}})$ and drops approximately with this energy difference to the second power:

$$t_e \propto \left(\frac{E_{\text{crit}}}{E - E_{\text{crit}}} \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

This dependence arises because stars with energies slightly above the critical one can escape only through small apertures around the




Figure 7. Same as Fig. 2, but now for clusters in an external tidal field. There is a clear deviation from the expected scaling with the relaxation time. This deviation remains up to the highest simulated N . Compared with the highest run, the mean half-mass time of the $N = 128$ runs is a factor of three larger than expected.




Figure 8. Same as Fig. 3, but now for clusters in an external tidal field. The curves for different N do not lie on top of each other; instead core collapse happens later for clusters with higher initial particle numbers. This confirms that the mass loss is not happening on the relaxation time-scale, in agreement with Fig. 7.

Lagrangian points L_1 and L_2 , which lie along the line connecting the cluster centre and the galaxy. These apertures become smaller and smaller as E approaches E_{crit} . Hence stars have to pass through the cluster an increasing number of times before they find a hole in the potential well. The mean time required for escape is therefore much higher than in the radial cut-off case and backscattering of potential escapers should happen more often. In addition, potential escapers will also drift to higher energies because the cluster loses mass while they are still trapped inside the potential well of the cluster. This effect will certainly influence

the number of potential escapers and shorten the lifetimes of the clusters. However, as it is happening on the dissolution time-scale, it does not influence the scaling law.

Fig. 7 shows the half-mass times as a function of the initial number of cluster stars. Compared with the radial cut-off clusters, the discrepancy between a scaling with the relaxation time and the N -body results is larger and there is no sign that this discrepancy vanishes for higher particle numbers. One reason for the larger discrepancy between theory and N -body results is certainly the longer time that is required for escape in a tidal field. Fig. 8 shows the evolution of the Lagrangian radii as a function of the number of bound stars. The curves for different N do not lie on top of each other, instead core collapse happens later for clusters with higher initial particle numbers. This means that the time-scale for mass loss differs from the time-scale for core collapse, in agreement with Fig. 7. Summarizing, Figs 7 and 8 indicate that the lifetime does not scale with the relaxation time.

In order to understand the results of the N -body runs, we will neglect the fact that there are stars with $E > E_{\text{crit}}$ that can never escape, and also the energy change of the stars resulting from the mass loss of the cluster. We will also neglect dynamical friction; its influence will be discussed later. We take the energy dependence of the escape times into account, because the mean energy of potential escapers will change as a function of the number of cluster stars. Our model is comparatively simple, but should give an approximation to the processes happening in the N -body simulations.

We split the potential escaper regime into different energy bins E with particle numbers $N(E)$ (see Fig. 9). Utilizing the expression for the escape times found by Fukushige & Heggie (equation 11 of our paper), we obtain for the change of $N(E)$ with time:

$$\frac{dN(\hat{E})}{dt} = \frac{k_1}{t_{\text{rh}}} \frac{d^2 N(\hat{E})}{d\hat{E}^2} - \hat{E}^2 \frac{N(\hat{E})}{t_{\text{esc}}}. \quad (12)$$

Here the variable $\hat{E} = (E - E_{\text{crit}})/E_{\text{crit}}$ was introduced and t_{esc} is the time required for escape at energy $\hat{E} = 1$. Requiring equilibrium $dN(\hat{E})/dt = 0$ gives the following solution for $N(\hat{E})$:

$$N(\hat{E}) \propto \sqrt{\hat{E}} K_{1/4} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t_{\text{rh}}/(k_1 t_{\text{esc}})} \hat{E}^2 \right], \quad (13)$$

with $K_{1/4}$ being a modified Bessel-function. Escape takes infinitely long for a star with zero excess energy, so the number of stars at $\hat{E} = 0$ is solely determined by the scattering of stars into the potential escaper regime and the backscattering of potential escapers, and should be proportional to the number N_{Star} of cluster stars:

$$N(\hat{E}) \propto N_{\text{Star}} \left(\frac{t_{\text{rh}}}{t_{\text{esc}}} \right)^{1/8} \sqrt{\hat{E}} K_{1/4} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t_{\text{rh}}/(k_1 t_{\text{esc}})} \hat{E}^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$

The mass-loss rate is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{N}_{\text{Esc}} &= \frac{1}{t_{\text{esc}}} \int \hat{E}^2 N(\hat{E}) d\hat{E} \\ &\propto N_{\text{Star}} t_{\text{rh}}^{-3/4} t_{\text{esc}}^{-1/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and dividing the number of bound stars by \dot{N}_{Esc} gives the following relation for the lifetime t_{h} :

$$t_{\text{h}} \propto t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4} t_{\text{esc}}^{1/4}. \quad (16)$$

Hence, although the energy changes inside the cluster are assumed to happen on the relaxation time-scale, we obtain the rather




Figure 9. Model for the evolution of clusters in a steady tidal field. The potential escaper regime is split into different energies \hat{E} . Stars change their energies on the relaxation time-scale and leave the cluster during the escape time t_e . The escape time drops with the energy difference $\hat{E} = (E - E_{\text{Crit}})/E_{\text{Crit}}$ to the second power $t_e \propto \hat{E}^{-2}$.




Figure 10. Comparison of the predicted $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$ scaling with the N -body data for clusters in a tidal field. The theoretical curves are adjusted such to match the result of the highest run. A scaling proportional to $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$ gives a good fit to the results of the N -body runs and is predicted by our theory.

surprising result that the dissolution time scales with the relaxation time to the power of 3/4.

Fig. 10 compares the $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$ scaling with the N -body results. The agreement is good: the half-mass times in the N -body models increase only slightly more slowly with N than predicted. The reason for the small discrepancy may be that our clusters do not start with a potential escaper distribution that is in equilibrium. Our clusters start with primordial escapers, because they are set up such that no star crosses the tidal radius only if the clusters are isolated. As the tidal field adds a force which alters the potential energy of the cluster stars, some stars will initially have energies $E > E_{\text{Crit}}$. Their number and energy distribution will certainly not be the equilibrium one, so the initial phases until an equilibrium distribution is reached will show a different scaling. This may explain the slight differences.

Fig. 11 shows the evolution of the potential escaper fraction with time. All clusters contain 15 per cent potential escapers initially, because of the set-up. The slight increase of F_{PE} in the low- N clusters probably indicates the phase where the clusters evolve towards equilibrium. After equilibrium is reached, which happens at about $N/N_0 = 0.9$, the fraction of potential escapers drops until core collapse. Core collapse then causes a sharp increase in the fraction of potential escapers, and all models end up with a potential escaper fraction of about 20 per cent.

If we integrate the solution for $N(\hat{E})$ over all energies, our theory gives the following result for the dependence of the potential escaper fraction on the initial number of cluster stars:

$$F_{\text{PE}} = \frac{N_{\text{PE}}}{N_{\text{Star}}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{Star}}} \int N(\hat{E}) d\hat{E} \propto t_{\text{rh}}^{-1/4}. \quad (17)$$

Fig. 12 compares the prediction with the mean escaper fraction of the N -body runs. To avoid effects arising from the initial evolution, the mean fraction in the N -body runs was calculated between the time the clusters lost 10 per cent of their mass and the half-mass time. Both fractions decrease and we obtain a fit to the N -body results for clusters with $N \leq 1024$. Later the potential escaper fraction drops less quickly in the N -body results than in our theory. Part of this discrepancy is certainly caused by bound members that have $E > E_{\text{Crit}}$ and that were neglected in our theory. The slow decrease means that even in clusters with particle numbers as high as globular clusters, several per cent of the stars will have energies above the critical one.

Our results for the scaling of the lifetimes do not change if we add an energy drift term arising from the mass loss of the clusters to the right-hand side of equation (12). This might be expected, because the drift in energies is happening on the mass-loss time-scale itself. If we add a term that results from dynamical friction, equation (12) becomes

$$\frac{dN(\hat{E})}{dt} = \frac{k_1}{t_{\text{rh}}} \frac{d^2 N(\hat{E})}{d\hat{E}^2} + \frac{k_2}{t_{\text{rh}}} \frac{dN(\hat{E})}{d\hat{E}} - \hat{E}^2 \frac{N(\hat{E})}{t_{\text{esc}}}. \quad (18)$$

The corresponding solution for $N(\hat{E})$ are Whittaker functions. Numerical exploitation of the solution shows that if dynamical friction tends to slow down potential escapers, i.e. $k_2 > 0$, the scaling becomes flatter than in the case without friction. The change in the scaling vanishes for large N , in which case the dissolution times always scale proportional to $t_{\text{rh}}^{0.75}$.

The results presented so far were obtained for single-mass clusters. Fig. 13 compares the predicted scaling with the half-mass times of multimass clusters. The data was taken from runs made by Sverre Aarseth and Douglas Heggie for the Collaborative Experiment. Their clusters had a Salpeter-like mass-function, but are otherwise identical to the clusters studied here. In order to calculate the relaxation time, a value of $\gamma = 0.02$ was assumed for the Coulomb logarithm (Giersz & Heggie 1996).

We obtain a fairly good agreement with our prediction, because the half-mass times scale only slightly more steeply than with $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$. The slight difference may be caused by the core collapse of the clusters. For the multimass clusters, core collapse happens before half-mass is reached and it happens earlier for smaller clusters (cf. Fig. 8), so low- N clusters spend a longer time in the higher mass-loss phases and dissolve more quickly. This steepens the scaling of the half-mass times.

The largest single-mass cluster studied dissolves in about 3.5 half-mass relaxation times. If the lifetimes of single-mass clusters continue to scale with $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$, they would fall below one relaxation time for clusters containing more than $N = 2.5 \times 10^6$ stars, which seems to be rather unlikely. Several reasons could cause a change in the scaling before this point is reached. First, we assume an evolution through equilibrium distributions. This assumption will certainly be violated, since, if $t_{\text{Diss}} < t_{\text{rh}}$, clusters dissolve before any equilibrium can be established. Secondly, our assumption that the number of potential escapers at $\hat{E} = 0$ is proportional to the number of cluster stars might be violated if escape becomes very efficient. Heggie (2001)




Figure 11. Potential escaper fraction for clusters in a tidal field as a function of the fraction of stars still bound to the clusters. The fraction drops until core collapse and rises afterwards because of the increase of potential escapers from the core.




Figure 12. Mean potential escaper fraction as a function of the number of cluster stars. The solid line shows our prediction fitted to the results of the smallest runs. It provides a good fit only for $N \leq 1024$. Afterwards it drops too quickly, one reason being that bound members with $E > E_{\text{crit}}$ were not taken into account.

constructed a cluster in which the number of cluster stars is a function of \hat{E} and t . Solving equation (12), he could show that the dissolution time scales with t_{th} if $N \rightarrow \infty$. However, this scaling is reached only for particle numbers beyond the globular cluster regime.

Summarizing, it is not clear whether the lifetimes still scale




Figure 13. Comparison of the predicted $t_{\text{th}}^{3/4}$ scaling with the dissolution times of multimass clusters from the Collaborative Experiment. As in the case of single-mass clusters, a $t_{\text{th}}^{3/4}$ scaling law gives a fairly good fit to the half-mass times. Multimass clusters show a stronger increase in their half-mass times than single-mass clusters, owing to their earlier core collapse.

with $t_{\text{th}}^{3/4}$ if N becomes much larger than 10^6 . Their scaling up to this point might however be described by such a scaling law. A similar value is found for the multimass clusters of the Collaborative Experiment. The $t_{\text{th}}^{3/4}$ scaling might therefore describe the scaling of the lifetimes for most of the globular cluster regime.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The evolution of three different kinds of clusters was studied. It was found that the lifetime scales with the relaxation time only if potential escapers are immediately removed. Otherwise, the lifetime increases more slowly with the particle number than the relaxation time. The reason for this discrepancy is that for radial cut-off clusters and for clusters in a tidal field there is a difference in time between the moment when stars are scattered above the energy necessary for escape and the moment when they actually leave the cluster. During this time, potential escapers can be scattered back to energies below the critical one and remain bound. This backscattering of potential escapers causes a deviation from a scaling with the relaxation time.

For clusters limited by a radial cut-off, we expect this deviation to vanish for large enough N , because the time needed for escape increases only slowly with the particle number. As the relaxation time increases almost linearly with N , it becomes very large compared with the escape time and all stars scattered above the critical energy leave the cluster, causing the lifetime to scale with the relaxation time.

Clusters in a steady tidal field show a larger discrepancy than the radial cut-off clusters. This is a result of the fact that in a tidal field the escape times depend on the energies of the stars and are large for stars with energies only slightly above the critical one. Hence there are always stars that have escape times comparable to their relaxation times and many of them are scattered back to lower energies before they can escape.

If we utilize the result of Fukushige & Heggie (2000), namely that the escape time drops with the energy difference $E_{\text{Star}} - E_{\text{Crit}}$ to the second power, we expect that the lifetime scales with $t_{\text{rh}}^{3/4}$. Such a dependence gives a good fit to the half-mass times of the single-mass clusters studied here and the multimass clusters of the Collaborative Experiment (Heggie et al. 1998).

We expect that there will be a transition for very large N beyond which the lifetime scales with the relaxation time. This transition might only play a role for the very largest globular clusters. Other processes, like for example the initial evolution until an equilibrium distribution of potential escapers is established and the core collapse of the clusters influence the scaling of the lifetimes as well.

As the lifetimes increase more slowly with the number of cluster stars than the relaxation time, globular clusters will have shorter lifetimes than expected hitherto. More globular clusters might have been destroyed since the time of their formation and the remaining ones have suffered more from dynamical evolution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Douglas Heggie for many valuable comments and suggestions related to this work. I am also grateful to Toshio Fukushige for the idea for Fig. 3, Rainer Spurzem for his help with the `NBODY6++` code, and an anonymous referee for suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the support of the European Commission through TMR grant number ERB FMGE CT950051 (the TRACS Programme at EPCC). The parallel calculations were performed on the CRAY T3E's of HLRZ Jülich and HLRS Stuttgart. I am supported by PPARC under grant 1998/00044.

REFERENCES

- Aarseth S., 1999, *PASP*, 111, 1333
 Ambartsumian V. A., 1938, *Ann. Leningrad State Univ.*, 22, 19
 Chandrasekhar S., 1942, *Principles of Stellar Dynamics*. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago
 Chernoff D. F., Weinberg M. D., 1990, *ApJ*, 351, 121
 Fukushige T., Heggie D. C., 1995, *MNRAS*, 276, 206
 Fukushige T., Heggie D. C., 2000, *MNRAS*, 318, 753
 Giersz M., Heggie D. C., 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 257
 Giersz M., Heggie D. C., 1996, *MNRAS*, 279, 1037
 Heggie D. C., 2001, in Steves B. A., Maciejewski A., eds, *Proc. NATO ASI workshop, The Restless Universe: Applications of Gravitational N-body Dynamics to Planetary, Stellar and Galactic Systems*. IOP Publishing, Bristol, in press
 Heggie D. C., Giersz M., Spurzem R., Takahashi K., 1998, in Andersen J., eds, *Highlights of Astronomy*, Vol. 11B. Reidel, Dordrecht, p. 591
 Hénon M., 1960, *Ann. Astrophys.*, 23, 668
 Hénon M., 1969, *A&A*, 2, 151
 Johnstone D., 1993, *AJ*, 105, 155
 King I., 1959, *AJ*, 64, 351
 Makino J., Aarseth S. J., 1992, *PASJ*, 44, 141
 Ostriker J. P., Spitzer L., Chevalier R. A., 1972, *ApJ*, 176, L51
 Spitzer L., Jr., 1940, *MNRAS*, 100, 396
 Spitzer L., Jr., 1987, *Dynamical Evolution of Globular Clusters* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ
 Spurzem R., 1999, in Riffert H., Werner K., eds, *Computational Astrophysics, The Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics (JCAM)* 109, Vol. 11B. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 407
 Takahashi K., Portegies Zwart S., 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 759
 Weinberg M. D., 1994a, *AJ*, 108, 1403
 Weinberg M. D., 1994b, *AJ*, 108, 1414
 Wielen R., 1988, in Grindlay J. E., Philip A. G. D., eds, *The Harlow Shapley Symposium on Globular Cluster Systems in Galaxies*, Vol. 11B. Reidel, Dordrecht, p. 393

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.