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## Introduction

- Star clusters lose their massive stellar content at the very beginning of their dynamical evolution
- Peculiar velocities range from $\sim 10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to several hundreds of $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
- About 20\% of high-velocity ( $>30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) stars produce observable bow shocks, which can be detected in the optical, infrared, radio, and X-ray wavebands
- Detection of bow shock around star clusters allows us to reveal OB stars running away from these clusters, which provides useful constraints on modelling of dynamical evolution of young star clusters


## Search for bow shocks around young massive star clusters


(Gvaramadze \& Bomans 2008)

## Pismis 24


(Gvaramadze et al. 2011)

## NGC 3603



## NGC 3603

- Age $\simeq 2$ Myr (Kudryavtseva et al. 2012)
- Mass $\sim 10^{4} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Harayama et al. 2008)
- Distance $\simeq 7.6 \mathrm{kpc}$ (Melena et al. 2008)
- Numerous O-type stars + 3 WN-type stars (Moffat et al. 1994; Schnurr et al. 2008)
- WN-type stars: initial mass $\simeq 140-170 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Crowther et al. 2010); two of the are shortperiod ( $\simeq 4-9 \mathrm{~d}$ ) binary systems (Schnurr et al. 2008)
- Core radius $\simeq 0.2 \mathrm{pc}$ (Harayama et al. 2008)
=> effective in producing massive runaways!


## NGC 3603 and its environments as seen by Spitzer Space Telescope

## (Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer; MIPS)


(Program Id.: 41024, PI: L. Townsley)

## NGC 3603 and its environments as seen by Spitzer Space Telescope



## NGC 3603 and its environments as seen by Spitzer Space Telescope



## NGC 3603 and its environments


$\simeq 20 \operatorname{arcmin}(\mathrm{or} \simeq 44 \mathrm{pc}$ in projection) from NGC 3603

## Bow-shock-producing star 2MASS 11171292-6120085

```
SPITZER 24 \mum
```

$\frac{30^{\prime \prime}}{1.1 \mathrm{pc}}$

$\mathrm{V} \simeq 15-16 \mathrm{mag}$

## Follow-up spectroscopy of J 1117-6120



## Distance to J 1117-6120

$\bullet \mathrm{J}=11.79 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}=10.92 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$ (2MASS; Cutri et al. 2003)

- O6 V: $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ks}}=-4.13 \mathrm{mag},\left(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{0}=0.21 \mathrm{mag}$ (Martins \& Plez 2006)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Ks}}=0.71 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}, \mathrm{DM}=14.34 \mathrm{mag} \\
& \quad=>\mathrm{d}=7.4_{-0.5}{ }^{+0.9} \mathrm{kpc}
\end{aligned}
$$

## NGC 3603 is the parent cluster of J 1117-6120!

# J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a few-body dynamical encounter? 

- The young age of NGC 3603 (~2 Myr) implies that J 1117-6120 was ejected dynamically, either because of a binarybinary or binary-single encounter in the cluster's core


# J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a few-body dynamical encounter? 

## Binary-binary encounter:

- exchange of the more massive binary components into a new (eccentric) binary
- ejection of the less massive stars with high velocities
- the trajectories of the ejected stars make an arbitrary angle with each other


# J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a few-body dynamical encounter? 

## Binary-single encounter:

- single star (usually the lowest mass star among the stars participating in the encounter) is ejected with a high velocity
- binary system is recoiled in the opposite direction to the single star
- post-encounter binary could merge into a single star if its orbit is compact

J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a few-body dynamical encounter?

Binary-single encounter:

# If J 1117-6120 was ejected via a threebody encounter then a massive binary or a single merged star should exist on the opposite side of NGC 3603 

## J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a three-body encounter?



O2 f*/WN6 (Ramon-Lopes 2012)

## O2f*/WN6

- WR42e (Ramon-Lopes 2012)
${ }^{-} \mathrm{L}_{\text {bol }} \sim 3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L}_{\odot}$, mass $>100 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}}=2.3 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {bol }} \sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$ - typical of single stars
- WR42e - a single star (dynamically ejected from NGC 3603 via a three-body encounter)


## J 1117-6120: a runaway star from a three-body encounter?



## WR42e as a merged binary star

- WR42e - a merged binary star (recoiled from NGC 3603 in the course of a threebody encounter $\sim 1 \mathrm{Myr}$ ago)
- WR42e: $\theta_{1} \simeq 0.045^{\circ}$; J 1117-6120: $\theta_{2} \simeq 0.262^{\circ}$
- conservation of the linear momentum

$$
\begin{aligned}
=> & M_{1}=\left(\theta_{2} / \theta_{1}\right) M_{2}, \text { for } M_{2}=30 M_{\odot}, \text { one has } \\
& M_{1} \simeq 175 M_{\odot}
\end{aligned}
$$

## WR42e as a merged binary star

- during the merger process the binary system loses $\sim 10 \%$ of its mass (Suzuki et al. 2007) =>~20 $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
- during the subsequent 1 Myr the star additionally loses $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ in the form of stellar wind
$=>$ current mass $\simeq 125-135 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ $=>\log \left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right) \simeq 6.3-6.5$
- $\mathrm{J}=10.18 \mathrm{mag}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}=9.04 \mathrm{mag}(2 \mathrm{MASS})$, $\left(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{0}=0.21 \mathrm{mag}($ Martins \& Plez 2006) $=>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}=6.25 \mathrm{mag}$
- O2-3 f*/WN5-6: $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}=(4.4 \div 5.2) \mathrm{mag}$ (Crowther \&Walborn 2011) $=>\log \left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right) \simeq 6.2-6.5$


## Observational test

- peculiar radial velocity of WR42e should be about six time smaller than that of J 11176120
- J 1117-6120: $\mathrm{v}_{\text {hel }} \simeq 21.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

$$
=>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rad}} \simeq-4.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

$$
\text { WR42e: } \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{rad}} \simeq 0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$
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Radial velocity measurements for WR42e are of crucial importance for testing our proposal

## Thank you!

